### STAFF REPORT

# LOCALIZED HEALTH IMPACTS REPORT

Addendum 2 For Selected Projects Awarded Funding Through the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program Under Solicitation PON-13-606 — Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure



CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor

July 2014

CEC-600-2014-002-AD2

## CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Patrick Brecht

Primary Author

John P. Butler II

Office Manager

EMERGING FUELS AND TECHNOLOGIES

OFFICE

Randy Roesser

Deputy Director

FUELS AND TRANSPORTATION DIVISION

Robert P. Oglesby **Executive Director** 

#### **DISCLAIMER**

Staff members of the California Energy Commission prepared this report. As such, it does not necessarily represent the views of the Energy Commission, its employees, or the State of California. The Energy Commission, the State of California, its employees, contractors and subcontractors make no warrant, express or implied, and assume no legal liability for the information in this report; nor does any party represent that the uses of this information will not infringe upon privately owned rights. This report has not been approved or disapproved by the Energy Commission nor has the Commission passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the information in this report.

#### **ADDENDUM 2**

The Localized Health Impacts (LHI) Report for Selected Projects Awarded Funding Through the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program Under Solicitation PON-13-606 was posted April 11, 2014 (CEC-600-2014-002). The assessment approach used in this addendum is as written in CEC-600-2014-002. Addendum 1 was posted June 19, 2014, (CEC-600-2014-002-AD)² and corrected both the quantity and type of electric charges for the Adopt a Charger project (Application #9).

This addendum reports on and assesses the potential localized health impacts for six additional proposed electric vehicle charging station projects awarded under solicitation PON-13-606. The following are the addresses for the new chargers:

Table 1: Proposed Addresses for Chargers
Level 1 (L1), Level 2 (L2), Direct Current Fast Charger (DCFC)

Application #6 County of Sonoma,	14 L1/L2 Destination chargers for 14 vehicles	Doran Beach Regional Park, 201 Doran Beach Road, Bodega Bay (1 L1/L2)
General Services Department		Santa Rosa Veterans Hall and Sonoma County Fairgrounds, 1351 Maple Avenue, Santa Rosa (2 L1/L2)
		Sonoma County Airport, 2200 Airport Blvd, Santa Rosa (2 L1/L2)
		County Administration Center, 2300 County Center Drive, Santa Rosa (3 L1/L2)
		County Administration Center, 575 Administration Drive, Santa Rosa (2 L1/L2)
		Cloverdale Memorial Veterans Hall, 205 West First Street, Cloverdale (2 L1/L2)
		Guerneville Veterans Hall, 16255 First Street, Guerneville (1 L1/L2)
		County Administration Center, Intersection of Paulin Drive and Administration Drive, Santa Rosa (1 to 3 L1/L2)

1

<sup>1</sup> Brecht, Patrick, Jennifer Allen, Lindsee Tanimoto. 2014. *Localized Health Impacts Report*. California Energy Commission, Fuels and Transportation Division. Publication Number: CEC-600-2011-002.

<sup>2</sup> Brecht, Patrick, Jennifer Allen, Lindsee Tanimoto. 2014. *Localized Health Impacts Report*. California Energy Commission, Fuels and Transportation Division. Publication Number: CEC-600-2011-002-AD.

Application #48	20 L2 and 20 L1	<u>Destination</u>					
Mendocino Land Trust, Inc.	Destination chargers  1 DCFC, 1 L2, and 2 L1 at	MacKerricher State Park,24100 MacKerricher Park Rd, Fort Bragg, 95437					
	2 Corridor sites	Hendy Woods State Park, Philo, 95466					
		Van Damme State Park, 8001 N Hwy 1, Little River, 95456					
		Russian Gulch State Park, Hwy 1, Mendocino, 95460					
		Manchester State Park, Manchester, 95459					
		Greenwood State Beach, Elk, 95432					
		Westport Union Landing State Beach, Westport, 95488					
		Mendocino Headlands State Park, Mendocino, 95460					
		Caspar Headlands State Beach, Caspar, 95420					
		Point Cabrillo Light Station State Historic Park, 13800 Cabrillo Dr. Mendocino, CA 95460					
		Corridor (2 picked from the following 3 sites)					
		1) California Western Railroad Depot, Willits					
		2) Commerce Drive Cul-de-sac, Ukiah					
		3) Mendocino County Fairgrounds, Boonville					
Application #38	4 DCFC Destination	Nugget Market, 1040 Florin Road, Sacramento, 95831					
Sacramento Area Council of	charging	Nugget Market, 7101 Elk Grove Blvd., Elk Grove, 95758					
Governments		Sacramento Natural Foods Co-Op, 1900 Alhambra Boulevard, Sacramento 95816					
Application #36 Woodland Joint Unified	16 L2 Workplace/Destination	Maxwell Elementary JB-9562558 - 50 Ashley Avenue, Woodland, 95695 (2 L2)					
School District	charging	Plainfield Elementary JB-9561362 - 20450 County Road 97, Woodland, 95695 (2 L2)					
		Tafoya Elementary JB-9571181 - 720 Homestead Way, Woodland, 95776 (2 L2)					
		Whitehead Elementary JB-9562559 - 624 W Southwood Dr, Woodland, 95695 (2 L2)					
		Zamora Elementary JB-9561361 - 1716 Cottonwood Street, Woodland, 95695 (2 L2)					
		Woodland High School JB-956255 - 21 N West St, Woodland,					

		95695 (4 L2) Woodland JUSD District Office JB-9562557 - 435 6th St, Woodland, 95695 (2 L2)
Application #1	10 DCFC Corridor	561 South Vulcan Avenue, Encinitas, 92024
Corridor Power, Inc.	chargers	
Application #19	16 L2	Department of Children and Family Services, 8300 South Vermont
County of Los Angeles	Workplace/Destination	Avenue, Los Angeles, 90044
	charging	

Source: Energy Commission staff analysis

#### **Demographic Data**

Staff collected information on ethnicity, age, and income for the cities/communities where the projects would be located. The information identifies those communities with higher minority populations, lower incomes, and highly sensitive groups based on age. For this assessment, staff identifies sensitive populations as individuals younger than 5 years of age and older than 65 years of age. The demographic data for the proposed project sites are provided in Table 2.

**Table 2: Demographic Data for Cities** 

				Ethnicity			Δ	<u>ge</u>	
	Below Poverty Line	Black	American Indian	Asian and/or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	White	<5 years	>65 years	Unemployment Rate
Bodega Bay	N/A	0.2	0.4	3.43	11.7	88	3.25	30	3.4
Boonville*	19.4	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	17.2	5.9
Caspar*	19.4	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	17.2	5.9
Cloverdale	5.9	0.6	1.8	1.2	32.8	62.5	6.6	16	9.5
Elk*	19.4	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	17.2	5.9
Elk Grove	9.3	11.2	0.6	26.3	18	38.1	7.2	8.3	5.5
Encinitas	9	0.6	0.5	3.9	13.7	78.8	5.4	12.8	4.1
Fort Bragg	19.9	0.7	2.2	0.2	31.8	61.7	7.4	13.7	6.6
Guerneville	N/A	0.7	1.5	1.3	12.2	86.6	3.51	13.5	12.2
Little River*	19.4	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	17.2	5.9
Los Angeles	21.2	9.6	0.7	11.4	48.5	28.7	6.6	10.5	9.8
Manchester*	19.4	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	17.2	5.9
Mendocino*	19.4	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	17.2	6.2
Philo*	19.4	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	17.2	5.9
Sacramento	20.2	14.6	1.1	19.7	26.9	34.5	7.5	10.6	9.6
Santa Rosa	13.2	2.4	1.7	5.2	28.6	59.7	6.8	13.5	4.9

				<b>Ethnicity</b>	Α	<u>ge</u>			
	Below Poverty		American	Asian and/or Pacific					Unemployment
	Line	Black	Indian	Islander	Hispanic	White	<5 years	>65 years	Rate
Ukiah	21.7	1.1	3.7	2.6	27.7	62.9	7.3	14.5	5.9
Westport*	19.4	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	17.2	5.9
Willits*	19.4	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	17.2	5.9
Woodland	11.6	1.5	1.3	6.2	47.4	42.1	7.9	10.9	8.1

Sources: Unemployment information from the State of California, Employee Development Department (EDD) Labor Market Information Division: <a href="http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133">http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133</a> and <a href="http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133">Age / ethnicity demographics, U.S. Department of Census: <a href="http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133">http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133</a> and <a href="http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133">Age / ethnicity demographics, U.S. Department of Census: <a href="http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133">http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133</a> and <a href="http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133">Age / ethnicity demographics, U.S. Department of Census: <a href="http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133">http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133</a> and <a href="http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133">http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?page

#### **Petroleum Displaced**

Staff collected information about estimated petroleum displacement, in equivalent gasoline gallons. The displacement results from electric vehicle miles traveled. Table 3 shows the amount of estimated gallons of gasoline displaced.

Table 3: Estimated Gasoline Gallons Displaced Level 1 (L1), Level 2 (L2), Direct Current Fast Charger (DCFC)

Application	Applicant	Number and Type of	Vehicle	Estimated Total	Estimated Total Gasoline
Number		Chargers	Capacity	Electric Vehicle	Gallons Displaced <sup>4</sup> Per
			(total number	Miles Per	Year
			of vehicles	Year <sup>3</sup> (2015	
			that can	vehicle	
			charge at the	population)	
			same time)		
Cat		on Charging, Corridor Cl	harging, Workp		th Public Access
6	County of	14 L1/L2 Destination	14	212,495	8,891
	Sonoma, General				
	Services				
	Department				
48	Mendocino Land	22 L1	44	699,593	29,272
	Trust, Inc.	Workplace/Destination			
		21 L2 Destination			
		1 DCFC			
		Destination/Corridor			
38	Sacramento Area	4 DCFC Destination	4	378,910	15,854
	Council of				
	Governments				
36	Woodland Joint	16 L2	16	242,851	10,161
	Unified School	Workplace/Destination			
	District				
1	Corridor Power	10 DCFC Corridor	10	947,276	39,635

<sup>3</sup> Default is 365 days per year for destination, corridor, and public access workplace in Category I, unless specific restrictions were identified. Default is 251 days per year in Category II. Default is 365 days per year in Category III.

4

<sup>\*</sup>Based on Mendocino County data

<sup>4 23.9</sup> miles per gallon for equivalent gasoline vehicle displaced.

Application	Applicant	Number and Type of	Vehicle	Estimated Total	Estimated Total Gasoline		
Number		Chargers	Capacity	Electric Vehicle	Gallons Displaced <sup>4</sup> Per		
		_	(total number	Miles Per	Year		
			of vehicles	Year <sup>3</sup> (2015			
			that can	vehicle			
			charge at the	population)			
			same time)				
Category I – Destination Charging, Corridor Charging, Workplace Charging With Public Access							
19	County of Los	16 L2	16	242,851	10,161		
	Angeles	Workplace/Destination					

#### **Location Analysis and Community Impacts**

Based on the staff's assessment of the proposed projects, it is expected that none of the surrounding communities would be disproportionately impacted by the implementation of the projects. While overall air quality depends on a number of factors, the Energy Commission expects that air quality will improve over time where the chargers are proposed as they support growing numbers of electric vehicles.

Location analysis and community impacts are based on comparing the project location demographics to that of California. The 6 projects, composed of 20 proposed cities, with up to 32 sites, have 4 minority EJ indicators. The poverty EJ indicator exists in 13 locations, and 5 have unemployment EJ indicators. The age EJ indicator exists in 13 proposed cities. The proposed projects are expected to have a net benefit by reducing emissions and leading to improved air quality. Although Table 4 indicates cities with EJ indicators, the projects will not result in elevated risks. The proposed stations will reduce emissions, exposure, and health risks at local levels resulting in benefits.

Table 4: Cities With EJ Indicators (Compared to the State of California)

Yellow highlighted areas indicate numbers that meet the definitions for EJ Indicators.

				<b>Ethnicity</b>	Α	<u>ige</u>			
	Below Poverty Line	Black	American Indian	Asian and/or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	White	<5 years	>65 years	Unemployment Rate (May 2014)
California	15.3	6.2	1.0	13.0	37.6	40.1	6.8	11.4	7.6
Bodega Bay	N/A	0.2	0.4	3.4	11.7	88	3.2	<mark>30</mark>	3.4
Boonville*	<mark>19.4</mark>	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	<mark>17.2</mark>	5.9
Caspar*	<mark>19.4</mark>	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	<mark>17.2</mark>	5.9
Cloverdale	<mark>5.9</mark>	0.6	1.8	1.2	<mark>32.8</mark>	62.5	6.6	<mark>16</mark>	<mark>9.5</mark>
Elk*	<mark>19.4</mark>	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	<b>17.2</b>	5.9

				<u>Ethnicity</u>			<u>A</u>	<u>ge</u>	
	Below Poverty Line	Black	American Indian	Asian and/or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	White	<5 years	>65 years	Unemployment Rate (May 2014)
California	15.3	6.2	1.0	13.0	37.6	40.1	6.8	11.4	7.6
Elk Grove	9.3	11.2	0.6	26.3	18	38.1	7.2	8.3	5.5
Encinitas	9	0.6	0.5	3.9	13.7	78.8	5.4	12.8	4.1
Fort Bragg	<mark>19.9</mark>	0.7	2.2	0.2	<mark>31.8</mark>	61.7	7.4	<mark>13.7</mark>	6.6
Guerneville	N/A	0.7	1.5	1.3	12.2	86.6	3.5	13.5	<mark>12.2</mark>
Little River*	<mark>19.4</mark>	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	<mark>17.2</mark>	5.9
Los Angeles	<mark>21.2</mark>	9.6	0.7	11.4	<mark>48.5</mark>	28.7	6.6	10.5	<mark>9.8</mark>
Manchester*	<mark>19.4</mark>	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	<mark>17.2</mark>	5.9
Mendocino*	<mark>19.4</mark>	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	<mark>17.2</mark>	6.2
Philo*	<mark>19.4</mark>	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	<mark>17.2</mark>	5.9
Sacramento	<mark>20.2</mark>	14.6	1.1	19.7	26.9	34.5	7.5	10.6	<mark>9.6</mark>
Santa Rosa	13.2	2.4	1.7	5.2	28.6	59.7	6.8	13.5	4.9
Ukiah	<mark>21.7</mark>	1.1	3.7	2.6	27.7	62.9	7.3	<mark>14.5</mark>	5.9
Westport*	19.4	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	<mark>17.2</mark>	5.9
Willits*	<mark>19.4</mark>	0.9	6.3	1.9	23	67.6	6	<mark>17.2</mark>	5.9
Woodland	11.6	1.5	1.3	6.2	<mark>47.4</mark>	42.1	7.9	10.9	<mark>8.1</mark>

Sources: Unemployment information from the State of California, Employee Development Department (EDD) Labor Market Information Division: <a href="http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133">http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133</a> and <a href="http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/Content.asp?pageid=133">Age / ethnicity demographics, U.S. Department of Census: <a href="http://quickfacts.census.gov">http://quickfacts.census.gov</a>

#### **Summary**

The proposed projects would potentially result in up to 32 sites for electric vehicle charging. The sites will increase the widespread use of plug-in electric vehicles (PEVs). As more PEVs enter the market and begin to displace gasoline and diesel vehicles, tailpipe pollutants will decrease significantly. The anticipated impacts to the cities where these projects would be located are positive in terms of cleaner air and anticipated GHG reductions. Of the 20 cities listed and with the potential of 38 sites, the anticipated benefit from these projects for the people who live in these cities is highly likely, if not certain, to be positive.

<sup>\*</sup>Based on Mendocino County data